

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Tra. (ABRK Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

				Tolles of				
	APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE		FIRST NAME	PPLICANT	ATT	Y. DOCKET NO.	

	09/020,8	369 02/01	9/98 CA	CASTANEDA		W EX	MINER	
			•					
	TYLER L	NASIÈDLAK	QM12/1020		. ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	5555 MATTERHORN DRIVE							
	FRIDLEY	MN 55432					10 ;	
		•	•		*	DATE MAILED:	. *	
							10/20/99	
	This is a communication fr COMMISSIONER OF PAT	rom the examiner in TENTS AND TRADE	charge of your ap	plication.				
			OFFICE	ACTION S	UMMARY			
			76.1	0.0			:	
8	Responsive to commun	ication(s) filed on	7/21/	99	<u> </u>			
	This action is FINAL.							
	Since this application is	in condition for at	lowance except	for formal ma	ttors prosecution s	e to the modto le al	and in	
: 1	accordance with the pre	actice under Ex pa	rte Quayie, 193	5 D.C. 11; 453	0.G. 213.	· ·	osea in	
A sh	ortened statutory perior	d for response to t	his action is set	to expire	3	month(s), or thirt	/ days	
· which	hever is longer, from the	e mailing date of the	nis communicati	on. Failure to	respond within the	period for reconnec w	ill cauco v	
1.136	pplication to become at 5(a).	sandoned. (33 O.	3.0. g 133). Ex	nu to enoismen	ne may be obtained	under the provisions	of 37 CFR	
Disp	osition of Claims				•			
	_	6-10 11			3 0.		,	
~	Claim(s)	6-10,10	1, 17-2	582S-	-38		n the application.	
	`,'-	22,30-3	3			_is/are withdrawn fro		
	Claim(s) 1,6	14,19,23	25-29	34-31	-,38		are allowed. are rejected.	
		10, 20, 3	7				objected to.	
ш	Claim(s)				are subje	ct to restriction or ele	ction requirement.	
Appl	ication Papers						*	
s	See the attached Notice	of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing	Review. PTO	-948.			
י ע	☐ The drawing(s) filed onis/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed onis approved ☐ disapproved.							
The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
&								
_	lty under 35 U.S.C. § 1							
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).								
	All Some* 🗍	None of the CE	RTIFIED copie:	s of the priority	documents have be	9 9 n	;	
	received.							
Ī	received in Applicati	ion No. (Series Co	de/Serial Numb	per)			1	
	received in this nation	onal stage applica	tion from the Int	emational Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	. 🛊	
*0	ertified copies not recei-	ved:	······································				Y 27 ye Harakay	
□ A	cknowledgment is mad	e of a claim for do	mestic priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(e).			
Attac	hment(s)						•	
	lotice of Reference Cite	d. PTO-892		٧,			•	
	Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).							
Interview Summary, PTO-413								
_	Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948							
Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152								
·	OLO O INIOME PERM	•						
•		SEE	UPFICE ACTIO	N ON THE FO	LLOWING PAGES	 .	1	

Application/Control Number: 09/020,869 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1,6,14,25,26-29,34-36 and 38 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Cox, 5,824,040. As stated in column 15, lines 1-22, the hub module (i.e., renal hub module 158) may be stented or unstented. The stent material is recited at being Nitinol. The various forms of Nitinol widely available are considered to meet the shape memory properties as claimed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cox '040. Cox teaches all aspects of the claimed invention except for the specific use of 3-D imaging techniques to form the

Application/Control Number: 09/020,869 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

graft. As stated in column 15, lines 37-45, the hub modules can be supplied in various shapes to enable selection of the proper shape to fit a patient or the hub modules can be custom molded to a particular patient using any various convention imaging modality. Therefore, to have used a 3-D imaging techniques to enable custom molding of the graft would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 7-10, 20, 37 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 21, 22, 30-33 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning <u>this</u> communication should be directed to Michael Milano at telephone number (703) 308-2496.

Application/Control Number: 09/020,869 Page 4

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group 3700 Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858.

Milano.mm October 18, 1999

> Michael J. Milano Primary Examiner Group 3700, AU 3738